UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,143	11/04/2003	Steven W. Holland	GP-303630	4840	
GENERAL MO	7590 08/09/2007 OTORS CORPORATIO	EXAMINER			
Legal Staff- Intellectual Property 300 Renaissance Center, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P. O. Box 300			WANG, BEN C		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
Detroit, MI 482	265-3000	•	2192		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/701,143	HOLLAND, STEVEN W.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ben C. Wang	2192	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Michael R. Nye</u> .	(3) <u>Ben C. Wang</u> .		
(2) <u>Damlan M. Aquino</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>Aug-01-2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representative)]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claims 1 and 6</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Rogers and Coburn.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	`		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPE P), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.1 33 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writin g. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- * Parties discussed the key amended portions of claims 1 and 6 as being compared the specification ([0020]) and the drawing (Fig. 1) with the prior arts (Rogers' Fig. 7 and Coburn's Fig. 2).
- * Examiner will reconsider the argument point that the interface processor loads "software received over said open architecture communications port onto said multiple processors".

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Harness Dickey & Plerce PLC
Attorneys and Counselors
6445 Corporate Drive, Suite 200
Troy. Michigan 48088-2883
Phone: 248-641-1600 Fax: 248-641-0270
Metropolitan: Detroit St. Louis Washington, DC

DATE:	July 20, 2007		No. of Pages (Including This Page): 2			
FOR: COMPANY: FAX NO.:	Examiner Ben C. Wang United States Patent & Tra 571-270-2240	demark Office Phone:	571-270-1240	ORIGINAL WILL FOLLOW BY: REGULAR MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL COURIER WILL NOT FOLLOW		
FROM:	Michael R. Nye					
Please let us know by phone or fax if you do not receive any of these pages.						
U.S. Application No. 10/701,143 Filed November 4, 2003 Title: Low Cost Open Approach for Vehicle Software Installation/Updating and On-Board Diagnostics Our Ref.: 8540R-000054 Subject: Applicant Initiated Examiner Interview Request We would like to request a telephonic interview for early next week. Please let us know if you will be available and what time would work best for you.						
Thank you a Michael R. N 248.341.133						

NOTICE

The Information contained in this fax transmission is intended only for the individual to whom or entity to which it is addressed. It may also contain privileged, confidential, attorney work product or trade secret information which is protected by law. If the reader of this message is not the Intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the addressee, the reader is hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the U.S. Postal Service. We will reimburse you for any reasonable expense (including postage) for the return of the original message,

PTOL-413A (05-03)
Approved for use through xx/xx/xxxx OMB 0851-00313
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: 10	<u>)/701,143</u>		First Nan	red Applicant:	Steve	n W. Holland Final
Examiner: <u>Ben C. W</u>	ang Ar	t Unit: <u>2</u>	<u>192</u>	Status of App	(ication:	Rejection Malled
Tentative Participants	:					
(1) Michael R. Nye		(2)	<u>Damlan I</u>	M. Aquino		
(3)		(4)				
Proposed Date of Inte	rview: <u>Ju</u>	ly 24, 2007	Z Propo	sed Time: _		(∐AM ∐PM)
	Type of Interview Requested:					
Exhibit To Be Shown o	r Demonstr	ated;	☐ YE	s 🛛 N	10	
If yes, provide brief description:						
Issues To Be Discussed						
lssue (Reg., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Flg. #s	Prior Art		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>103 rejection</u>	Claim 1	Rogers				
(2)						
(3)						
(4)				Ц	L	
Continuation Sheet A	Attached					
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented; <u>Applicant would like to better understand the rejection of claim 1 in light of Rogers.</u>						
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on						
NOTE: This form should be completed by application and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01) This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.						
(Applicant/Applicant's Représentative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)						